First Regular Session Seventy-first General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

INTRODUCED

LLS NO. 17-0562.01 Jane Ritter x4342

SENATE BILL 17-067

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Merrifield, Guzman, Kagan, Kerr, Todd

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

(None),

Senate Committees Education

House Committees

	A BILL FOR AN ACT
101	CONCERNING THE PROVISION THAT A PERCENTAGE OF AN EDUCATOR'S
102	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION BE DETERMINED BY STUDENT
103	ACADEMIC GROWTH.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov/.)

Current law relating to performance evaluations for teachers and principals (educator evaluations) includes a requirement that at least 50% of an evaluation must be determined by the academic growth of the teacher's students or the students in the principal's school. The bill eliminates that requirement but grants school districts the flexibility to

continue to use student academic growth, in an amount not to exceed 20%, in educator evaluations.

The bill also allows a local board of education or board of cooperative services that adopts its own local licensed personnel evaluation system to exempt teachers or principals who have either an existing effective or highly effective rating from the annual requirements of such system for a period not to exceed 3 years. If a local board of education or board of cooperative services decides to provide such an exemption, the teacher or principal retains the rating he or she received on his or her most recent evaluation for the exemption period. A teacher or principal who has been exempt from evaluations pursuant to this bill may request a new evaluation prior to the end of the exemption period. The rating from such a new evaluation will become the teacher's or principal's new performance evaluation rating.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 22-9-105.5, amend

(2)(c) introductory portion, (2)(c)(I), (3) introductory portion, and (3)(a)

as follows:

22-9-105.5. State council for educator effectiveness - legislative declaration - membership - duties - recommendations - rules.

(2) (c) The purpose of the council shall be IS the same as that of the governor's council for educator effectiveness established by executive order, and shall be IS to consider options and make recommendations to the state board and the general assembly that seek to ensure that all licensed personnel are:

(I) Evaluated using multiple fair, transparent, timely, rigorous, and valid methods. at least fifty percent of which evaluation is determined by the academic growth of their students; A SCHOOL DISTRICT IS NOT REQUIRED TO USE THE ACADEMIC GROWTH OF STUDENTS IN LICENSED PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS, BUT SUCH DATA MAY BE USED AT A PERCENTAGE NOT TO EXCEED TWENTY PERCENT. THE SCHOOL

-2- SB17-067

- 1 DISTRICT LICENSED PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION COUNCIL FOR 2 THAT DISTRICT SHALL DETERMINE THE PERCENTAGE OF STUDENT
- 3 ACADEMIC GROWTH DATA TO BE USED, AND THE ASSESSMENT TOOLS OR
- 4 TESTS TO BE USED TO MEASURE SUCH GROWTH, IN EVALUATIONS FOR THAT
- 5 DISTRICT.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 6 (3) The council shall have HAS the following duties:
 - (a) On or before March 1, 2011, to provide the state board with recommendations that will ensure that every teacher is evaluated using multiple fair, transparent, timely, rigorous, and valid methods. The recommendations developed pursuant to this paragraph (a) shall SUBSECTION (3)(a) MAY require that at least fifty percent A PORTION, NOT TO EXCEED TWENTY PERCENT, of the evaluation is determined by the academic growth of the teacher's students and MUST REQUIRE that each teacher is provided with an opportunity to improve his or her effectiveness through a teacher development plan that links his or her evaluation and performance standards to professional development opportunities. The quality standards for teachers shall MUST include measures of student longitudinal academic growth that are consistent with the measures set forth in section 22-11-204 (2) and may include interim assessment results or evidence of student work, provided that all are rigorous and comparable across classrooms and aligned with state model content standards and performance standards developed pursuant to article 7 of this title TITLE 22. For the purposes of quality standards, expectations of student academic growth shall MUST take into consideration diverse factors, including but not limited to special education, student mobility, and classrooms with a student population in which ninety-five percent meet the definition of high-risk student as

-3- SB17-067

defined in section 22-7-604.5 (1.5). The quality standards for teachers shall MUST be clear and relevant to the teacher's roles and responsibilities and shall MUST have the goal of improving student academic growth. The council shall include in its recommendations a definition of effectiveness and its relation to quality standards. The definition of effectiveness shall MUST include, but need not be limited to, criteria that will be used to differentiate between performance standards. The defined performance standards shall MUST include, but need not be limited to, "highly effective", "effective", and "ineffective". The council shall consider whether additional performance standards should be established.

SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 22-9-106, **amend** (1) introductory portion, (1)(e)(II), (7) introductory portion, and (7)(a); and **add** (1.5)(a.5) as follows:

22-9-106. Local boards of education - duties - performance evaluation system - compliance - rules. (1) All school districts and boards of cooperative services that employ licensed personnel, as defined in section 22-9-103 (1.5), shall adopt a written system to evaluate the employment performance of school district and board of cooperative services licensed personnel, including all teachers, principals, and administrators, with the exception of licensed personnel employed by a board of cooperative services for a period of six weeks or less. A LOCAL BOARD OR BOARD OF COOPERATIVE SERVICES MAY, AT ITS DISCRETION, EXEMPT TEACHERS AND PRINCIPALS WHO HAVE EITHER AN EXISTING EFFECTIVE OR HIGHLY EFFECTIVE RATING FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF ITS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED THREE YEARS. IF A LOCAL BOARD OR BOARD OF COOPERATIVE SERVICES DECIDES TO PROVIDE SUCH AN EXEMPTION, THE TEACHER OR PRINCIPAL RETAINS

-4- SB17-067

THE RATING HE OR SHE RECEIVED ON HIS OR HER MOST RECENT EVALUATION FOR THE EXEMPTION PERIOD. THE TEACHER OR PRINCIPAL MAY REQUEST A NEW PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PRIOR TO THE END OF THE EXEMPTION PERIOD. IF A TEACHER OR PRINCIPAL REQUESTS A NEW PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PRIOR TO THE END OF THE EXEMPTION PERIOD, THE RESULTING PERFORMANCE EVALUATION RATING BECOMES THE TEACHER'S OR PRINCIPAL'S NEW ESTABLISHED RATING. In developing the licensed personnel performance evaluation system and any amendments thereto, the local board and board of cooperative services shall comply with the provisions of subsection (1.5) of this section and shall consult with administrators, principals, and teachers employed within the district or participating districts in a board of cooperative services, parents, and the school district licensed personnel performance evaluation council or the board of cooperative services personnel performance evaluation council created pursuant to section 22-9-107. The performance evaluation system shall MUST address all of the performance standards established by rule of the state board and adopted by the general assembly pursuant to section 22-9-105.5, and shall MUST contain, but need not be limited to, the following information:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(e) (II) The standards set by the local board for effective performance for licensed personnel and the criteria to be used to determine whether the performance of each licensed person meets such standards and other criteria for evaluation for each licensed personnel position evaluated. One of the standards for measuring teacher effectiveness shall MUST be directly related to classroom instruction and shall require that at least fifty percent of the evaluation is determined by the academic growth of the teacher's students. The district accountability

-5- SB17-067

committee shall provide input and recommendations concerning the
assessment tools used to measure student academic growth as it relates to
teacher evaluations MAY REQUIRE THAT A PORTION, NOT TO EXCEED
TWENTY PERCENT, OF THE EVALUATION IS DETERMINED BY THE ACADEMIC
GROWTH OF THE TEACHER'S STUDENTS. THE SCHOOL DISTRICT PERSONNEL
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION COUNCIL FOR THAT DISTRICT SHALL
DETERMINE THE PERCENTAGE OF STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH DATA TO
BE USED, AND THE ASSESSMENT TOOLS OR TESTS TO BE USED TO MEASURE
SUCH GROWTH, IN EVALUATIONS FOR THAT DISTRICT. The standards shall
MUST include multiple measures of student performance in conjunction
with student growth expectations. For the purposes of measuring
effectiveness, expectations of student academic growth shall MUST take
into consideration diverse factors, including but not limited to, special
education, student mobility, and classrooms with a student population in
which ninety-five percent meet the definition of high-risk student as
defined in section 22-7-604.5 (1.5). The performance evaluation system
shall MUST also ensure that the standards and criteria are available in
writing to all licensed personnel and are communicated and discussed by
the person being evaluated and the evaluator prior to and during the
course of the evaluation. This subparagraph (II) shall take SUBSECTION
(1)(e)(II) TAKES effect at such time as the performance evaluation system
based on quality standards established pursuant to this section and the
rules promulgated by the state board pursuant to section 22-9-105.5 has
completed the initial phase of implementation and has been implemented
statewide. The commissioner shall provide notice of such implementation
to the revisor of statutes on or before July 1, 2014, and each July 1
thereafter until statewide implementation occurs.

-6- SB17-067

(1.5) (a.5) A LOCAL BOARD OR BOARD OF COOPERATIVE SERVICES THAT DEVELOPS ITS OWN LOCAL LICENSED PERSONNEL EVALUATION SYSTEM PURSUANT TO THIS SUBSECTION (1.5) MAY EXEMPT TEACHERS OR PRINCIPALS WHO HAVE EITHER AN EXISTING EFFECTIVE OR HIGHLY EFFECTIVE RATING FROM THE ANNUAL REQUIREMENTS OF SUCH EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED THREE YEARS. IF A LOCAL BOARD OR BOARD OF COOPERATIVE SERVICES DECIDES TO PROVIDE SUCH AN EXEMPTION, THE TEACHER OR PRINCIPAL RETAINS THE RATING HE OR SHE RECEIVED ON HIS OR HER MOST RECENT EVALUATION FOR THE EXEMPTION PERIOD. THE TEACHER OR PRINCIPAL MAY REQUEST A NEW PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PRIOR TO THE END OF THE EXEMPTION PERIOD. IF A TEACHER OR PRINCIPAL REQUESTS A NEW PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PRIOR TO THE END OF THE EXEMPTION PERIOD, THE RESULTING PERFORMANCE EVALUATION RATING BECOMES THE TEACHER'S OR PRINCIPAL'S NEW ESTABLISHED RATING. Every principal shall be evaluated using multiple fair,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(7) Every principal shall be evaluated using multiple fair, transparent, timely, rigorous, and valid methods. The recommendations developed pursuant to this subsection (7) shall MAY require that at least fifty percent A PORTION, NOT TO EXCEED TWENTY PERCENT, of the evaluation is determined by the academic growth of the students enrolled in the principal's school. If a COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT DOES NOT EXIST IN A SCHOOL DISTRICT, THEN THE SCHOOL DISTRICT LICENSED PERSONNEL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION COUNCIL FOR THAT DISTRICT SHALL DETERMINE THE PERCENTAGE OF STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH DATA TO BE USED, AND THE ASSESSMENT TOOLS OR TESTS TO BE USED TO MEASURE SUCH GROWTH, IN EVALUATIONS FOR THAT DISTRICT. For principals, the quality standards shall must include, but need not be

-7- SB17-067

limited to:

(a) Achievement and academic growth for those students enrolled
in the principal's school, as measured by the Colorado growth model set
forth in section 22-11-202 THE ASSESSMENT TOOLS OR TESTS THE LOCAL
BOARD AGREED TO USE TO MEASURE SUCH GROWTH;

SECTION 3. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 9, 2017, if adjournment sine die is on May 10, 2017); except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in November 2018 and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

-8- SB17-067